**ATTACHMENT E** 

## **Five-Year Review Site Inspection Checklist**

I. SITE INFORMATION			
Site name: Vogel Paint and Wax Superfund Site	Date of inspection: December 12, 2013		
Location and Region: Maurice, IA. EPA Region 7	<b>EPA ID:</b> IAD980630487		
Agency, office, or company leading the five-year review: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers – Kansas City District	Weather/temperature: Clear, sunny, approximately 1-2 inches snow on the ground, temperature minus 7 degrees Fahrenheit		
Remedy Includes: (Check all that apply)  □ Landfill cover/containment □ Monitored natural attenuation □ Access controls □ Groundwater containment □ Institutional controls □ Vertical barrier walls □ Groundwater pump and treatment □ Surface water collection and treatment □ Other □			
Attachments:	☐ Site map attached		
II. INTERVIEWS	(Check all that apply)		
O&M site managerScott Heemstra Con	lems or suggestions were reported during the		
2. O&M staff Name Interviewed  at site at office by phone Ph Problems, suggestions; Report attached	Title Date one no.		

3. <b>Local regulatory authorities and response agencies</b> (i.e., State and Tribal offices, emer office, police department, office of public health or environmental health, zoning office, r deeds, or other city and county offices, etc.) Fill in all that apply.				
	Agency _Iowa Department of Natural Reso Contact _Bob Drustrup Name Problems; suggestions;  Report attached due to its current condition. IDNR would al	Environmental Engineer Title Would like to see the gro	Date oundwater treatn	Phone no. nent plant removed
	Agency Contact Name Problems; suggestions;  Report attached	Title	Date Pho	
	Agency Contact Name Problems; suggestions;  Report attached	Title	Date Pho	
	Agency Contact Name Problems; suggestions;  Report attached	Title	Date Pho	
4.	Other interviews (optional)  Report atta	ached.		

	III. ON-SITE DOCUMENTS & RECORDS VERIFIED (Check all that apply)			
1.	☐ As-built drawings ☐ Readily available ☐ U	p to date N/A p to date N/A p to date N/A		
2.	Site-Specific Health and Safety Plan  Contingency plan/emergency response plan  Readily availab Remarks Site activities have not occurred over the past 5 years asia groundwater sampling.	le Up to date	⊠ N/A ⊠ N/A dy and	
3.	O&M and OSHA Training Records  Remarks  Readily available	Up to date	□ N/A	
4.	Permits and Service Agreements  Air discharge permit Readily available U  Effluent discharge Readily available U  Waste disposal, POTW Readily available U  Other permits Readily available  Remarks Currently no air or effluent discharge is generated at the si	p to date N/A p to date N/A Up to date	N/A	
5.	Gas Generation Records Readily available U	p to date 🛮 🖾 N/A		
6.	Settlement Monument Records Readily available	Up to date	⊠ N/A	
7.	Groundwater Monitoring Records  ☐ Readily available  Remarks Annual groundwater monitoring reports were reviewed.	☑ Up to date	□ N/A	
8.	Leachate Extraction Records Remarks Remarks	Up to date	⊠ N/A	
9.	Discharge Compliance Records  Air Readily available Water (effluent) Readily available Remarks	Up to date Up to date	⊠ N/A ⊠ N/A	
10.	Daily Access/Security Logs       ☐ Readily available         Remarks_ The treatment plant has been inactive since 2009.	Up to date	⊠ N/A	

	IV. O&M COSTS				
1.					
2.	2. O&M Cost Records (was not reviewed)  Readily available Up to date Funding mechanism/agreement in place Original O&M cost estimate Breakdown attached  Total annual cost by year for review period if available				
	From         To           Date         Date           From         To           Date         Date           From         To           Date         Date           From         To           Date         Date           From         To           Date         Date	Total cost  Total cost  Total cost  Total cost  Total cost	☐ Breakdown attached		
3.	2004. The extraction system was u O&M costs incurred over this review	groundwater treatment p tilized for irrigation of the ew period would include	eview Period  plant has not been used for remediation since the phytoremediation trees from 2007 to 2009, groundwater sampling costs and any the to wells, free product removal, etc.)		
	V. ACCESS AND INSTI	TUTIONAL CONTRO	LS Applicable N/A		
A. I	Fencing				
1.		tion shown on site map as an IC, however the sit	☐ Gates secured ☒ N/A e does maintain a gate and property fencing.		
В. (	Other Access Restrictions				
1.	1. <b>Signs and other security measures</b> ☐ Location shown on site map ☑ N/A Remarks				

C.	Institutional Controls (ICs)
1.	Implementation and enforcement         Site conditions imply ICs not properly implemented       ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A         Site conditions imply ICs not being fully enforced       ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A         Type of monitoring (e.g., self-reporting, drive by)Deed restrictions         Frequency
	Contact
	Name Title Date Phone no.
	Reporting is up-to-date $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
	Specific requirements in deed or decision documents have been met  Violations have been reported  Other problems or suggestions:  Report attached  See issues and recommendations made in the five-year review report.
2.	Adequacy ☐ ICs are adequate ☐ ICs are inadequate ☐ N/A  Remarks For the short term, the ICs are adequate, however an Environmental Covenant is preferred in order to prevent potential contaminant pathways from developing in the future.
D.	General
1.	Vandalism/trespassing ☐ Location shown on site map ☐ No vandalism evident  Remarks
2.	Land use changes on site N/A  Remarks_A change in land use is unlikely at the site
3.	Land use changes off site N/A  Remarks A change in land use nearby the site is unlikely.
	VI. GENERAL SITE CONDITIONS
A.	Roads
1.	Roads damaged

B. Oth	B. Other Site Conditions			
	Remarks			
***************************************	VII. LANDF	ILL COVERS	3 N/A	
A. Lan	dfill Surface	Tappilettoit 2	Z 2 1/4 2	
1.		Location shown on site map Depth	Settlement not evident	
2.	Cracks Lengths Widths Remarks	Location shown on site map Depths	Cracking not evident	
3.	Erosion Areal extent Remarks	Location shown on site map Depth	☐ Erosion not evident	
4.	Holes Areal extent Remarks	Location shown on site map Depth	☐ Holes not evident	
5.	Vegetative Cover ☐ Gras ☐ Trees/Shrubs (indicate size and Remarks ☐ Gras ☐ Control of the contr		stablished  No signs of stress	
6.	Alternative Cover (armored rock Remarks			
7.	Bulges Areal extent Remarks	Location shown on site map Height	☐ Bulges not evident	
8.	Wet Areas/Water Damage  Wet areas Ponding Seeps Soft subgrade Remarks	☐ Wet areas/water damage not ev☐ Location shown on site map☐ Location shown on site map	Areal extentAreal extent Areal extent Areal extent Areal extent	

9.	Areal extent		ap No evidence of slope instability
В.			andfill side slope to interrupt the slope and convey the runoff to a lined
1.	Flows Bypass Bench Remarks	Location shown on site m	
2.	Bench Breached Remarks	Location shown on site ma	ap N/A or okay
3.	Bench Overtopped Remarks	Location shown on site m	
C.		on control mats, riprap, grout bags, or g I allow the runoff water collected by the	abions that descend down the steep side e benches to move off of the landfill
1.	Areal extent	Location shown on site map Depth	
2.	Material type	Location shown on site map Areal extent	-
3.	Erosion Areal extent Remarks		No evidence of erosion

4.	Undercutting Location shown on signature Location Location Location Shown on signature Location Location Shown on signature Location Shown on signature Location Location Shown on signature Location Shown on		of undercutting
5.	Obstructions Type Ar Size Remarks	eal extent	
6.	☐ No evidence of excessive growth ☐ Vegetation in channels does not obstruct flow	eal extent	-
D. Cov	er Penetrations 🛛 Applicable 🔲 N/A		
1.	Gas Vents ☐ Active ☐ Pas ☐ Properly secured/locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Evidence of leakage at penetration ☐ N/A  Remarks ☐ Gas vents are not associated with a landfil degradation of source material.	☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Needs Maintenance	☑ Good condition  ourage natural
2.	Gas Monitoring Probes  ☐ Properly secured/locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Evidence of leakage at penetration Remarks	☐ Needs Maintenance	☐ Good condition ☑ N/A
3.	Monitoring Wells (within surface area of landfill)  ☐ Properly secured/locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Evidence of leakage at penetration  Remarks	☐ Needs Maintenance	☐ Good condition ☑ N/A
4.	Leachate Extraction Wells  ☐ Properly secured/locked ☐ Functioning ☐ Evidence of leakage at penetration Remarks	☐ Routinely sampled ☐ Needs Maintenance	☐ Good condition ☑ N/A
5.	Settlement Monuments	☐ Routinely surveyed	⊠ N/A

E.	Gas Collection and Treatment ☐ Applicable ☐ N/A	
1.	Gas Treatment Facilities  Flaring  Good condition  Needs Maintenance  Remarks	
2.	Gas Collection Wells, Manifolds and Piping Good condition Needs Maintenance Remarks	
3.	Gas Monitoring Facilities (e.g., gas monitoring of adjacent homes or buildings)  ☐ Good condition ☐ Needs Maintenance ☐ N/A  Remarks	
F.	Cover Drainage Layer ☐ Applicable ☐ N/A	
1.	Outlet Pipes Inspected	
2.	Outlet Rock Inspected	
G.	Detention/Sedimentation Ponds   ☐ Applicable   ☒ N/A	
1.	Siltation Areal extent Depth N/A  Siltation not evident  Remarks	
2.	Erosion Areal extent Depth  Erosion not evident Remarks	
3.	Outlet Works	
4.	Dam Functioning N/A Remarks	

H. Re	etaining Walls	☐ Applicable      N/A	
1.	Rotational displacement_	☐ Location shown on site map ☐ Deformation not evident  Vertical displacement  ———————————————————————————————————	
2.	<b>Degradation</b> Remarks	☐ Location shown on site map ☐ Degradation not evident	
I. Per	imeter Ditches/Off-Site Di	scharge	
1.	Areal extent	ation shown on site map Siltation not evident Depth	
2.	Vegetation does not in Areal extent	Location shown on site map N/A mpede flow Type	
3.	T 1	Location shown on site map	
4.		☐ Functioning ☐ N/A	
	VIII. VER	TICAL BARRIER WALLS	
1.		Location shown on site map Settlement not evident Depth	
2.	Performance not moni	Evidence of breaching	

	IX. GROUNDWATER/SURFACE WATER REMEDIES
A. Gro	oundwater Extraction Wells, Pumps, and Pipelines 🖂 Applicable 🗀 N/A
1.	Pumps, Wellhead Plumbing, and Electrical  ☐ Good condition ☐ All required wells properly operating ☐ Needs Maintenance ☐ N/A  Remarks_All wells were observed to be in good condition, there were no problems reported in the latest  Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report.
2.	Extraction System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances  Good condition  Needs Maintenance  Remarks
3.	Spare Parts and Equipment  ☑ Readily available ☐ Good condition ☐ Requires upgrade ☐ Needs to be provided  Remarks
B. Sur	face Water Collection Structures, Pumps, and Pipelines   Applicable   N/A
1.	Collection Structures, Pumps, and Electrical Good condition Needs Maintenance Remarks
2.	Surface Water Collection System Pipelines, Valves, Valve Boxes, and Other Appurtenances  Good condition  Needs Maintenance  Remarks
3.	Spare Parts and Equipment         ☐ Readily available       ☐ Good condition       ☐ Requires upgrade       ☐ Needs to be provided         Remarks

C.	Treatment System	Applicable	□ N/A		
1.	Filters Additive (e.g., chelati Others Good condition Sampling ports prope Sampling/maintenanc Equipment properly i Quantity of groundwa Quantity of surface wa Remarks Air stripper is been operating at the site document.	Oil/Cart On agent, flocculer Nee rly marked and fur te log displayed and dentified ater treated annuall ater treated annuall currently inoperable since 2007, hower	water separation bon adsorbers  at)  ds Maintenance actional d up to date  y  y  le, a bioremediation power the remedy has no	ilot study using phytot been formally appr	oremediation has
2.	Electrical Enclosures an  N/A Good Remarks	od condition	☐ Needs Maintenar	nce	
3.	Tanks, Vaults, Storage   N/A ☐ Goo  Remarks	od condition	Proper secondary	v containment \[ \sum \] \[ \text{N}	Jeeds Maintenance
4.	Discharge Structure an  ☐ N/A     ☐ Goo  Remarks	od condition	☐ Needs Maintenar		
5.	Treatment Building(s)  ☐ N/A     ☐ Goo  ☐ Chemicals and equipmarks	ment properly store		☐ Needs rep	
6.	Monitoring Wells (pum ☑ Properly secured/lock ☐ All required wells loc Remarks	ted 🛛 Fund	medy) ctioning 🔀 Routine ds Maintenance		Good condition
D.	Monitoring Data				
1.	Monitoring Data  ☑ Is routinely submitted	l on time		ble quality	
2.	Monitoring data suggests  Groundwater plume i		ined  Contaminan	t concentrations are	declining

D. Monitored Natural Attenuation	
□ □ Re	onitoring Wells (natural attenuation remedy)  Properly secured/locked
	X. OTHER REMEDIES
the p	ere are remedies applied at the site which are not covered above, attach an inspection sheet describing physical nature and condition of any facility associated with the remedy. An example would be soil or extraction.
XI. OVERALL OBSERVATIONS	
A. In	nplementation of the Remedy
Be mi _( th ar ac	escribe issues and observations relating to whether the remedy is effective and functioning as designed. Egin with a brief statement of what the remedy is to accomplish (i.e., to contain contaminant plume, inimize infiltration and gas emission, etc.).  Currently the use of MNA and phytoremediation is not containing the plume within e site boundary, as required in the decision documents. A decision document or mendment is recommended to address the change in remedy at the site as well as to ldress the point of compliance which may not be attainable under the current medy.
B. Ac	dequacy of O&M
	escribe issues and observations related to the implementation and scope of O&M procedures. In rticular, discuss their relationship to the current and long-term protectiveness of the remedy.  See issues and recommendations made in the five-year review report.

C.	Early Indicators of Potential Remedy Problems
	Describe issues and observations such as unexpected changes in the cost or scope of O&M or a high frequency of unscheduled repairs, that suggest that the protectiveness of the remedy may be compromised in the future.  No such issues were noted during the site inspection, nor were comments provided
	during the interviews which would suggest current O&M costs are a concern.
D.	Opportunities for Optimization
	Describe possible opportunities for optimization in monitoring tasks or the operation of the remedy.  See recommendations made in the five-year review report regarding optimization.